

Meeting Report
CEN/BT/WG 69
Dusseldorf, Germany
January 24, 2013

CEN (European Committee for Standardization) Working Group 69

1. The CEN consultant reported that the next RCD will address a boat tendency for inversion, craft identification relating to country code, new importer's plate requirement for importing in PCA. Might have to address EMC requirements. Emissions testing is another major area, and might include generators. The ISO standards should reflect these new future requirements.
2. Discussed the transition period between the publication date of a standard and its initial requirement date. Unlike ABYC, there is no single introduction date of new ISO standards and no standard effective date. Each ISO WG determines its own effective date with a usual transition period of 6 months. It is recommended that WG69 review and determine where longer transitional periods are needed, an idea that CEN did not accept. CEN has no answer and gave no direction to mitigate this transitional problem facing the industry. ICOMIA noted that the present situation is unsatisfactory. It was resolved that a Task Force will develop a paper for CEN consideration.
3. Should revised ISO standards list a summary of major changes? This is a recommendation in the ISO model text and will be recommended to the ISO WG conveners at the TC188 plenary.
4. The ISO secretariat discussed the status of all ISO standards. This document is available on request. The WG recommended an 18 month transitional period for the revised Inflatable standard. All other revised standards have a 6 month transitional period
5. Drawings in ISO 13297 are not correct as they are missing the RCD device. The WG will recommend to TC188 that an amendment be issued to correct the drawings. Will also add a disclaimer.
6. Discussed the need for a NWI for an exhaust gas emissions standard for recreational boats. This document will mirror the TC 70 8178 series standards. A NWI request will be submitted to ISO in February.
7. A working draft has been produced for performance requirements of LED navigation lights. Most review comments received from Germany.
8. The CEN consultant asked the WG if ISO standards should look at the costs of implementation of revisions. Should the ISO WG identify the costs of implementation? An interesting question; comments to the CEN consultant.
9. Next meeting: Boot Dusseldorf 2014.

The information contained herein is prepared by NMMA staff and intended for informational purposes and informal background use only. It is not intended to serve as an official report of the meeting's proceedings, actions or outcomes. This unofficial summary prepared by NMMA staff is not intended to generate any action on the part of

any reader. The only recognized official transcript of any CEN meeting is the official minutes of the meeting generated by the meeting's convener or sponsor.